

Quantum Critical Phenomena in a Compressible Displacive Ferroelectric

M.J. Coak^{1*}, C.R.S. Haines^{1*}, C. Liu¹, S.E. Rowley^{1,2}, G.G. Lonzarich¹ and S.S. Saxena^{1,3*}

1. Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge University, J.J. Thomson Ave, Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK

2. Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, Rio de Janeiro 22290-180, Brazil

3. National University of Science and Technology “MISiS”, Leninsky Prospekt 4, Moscow 119049, Russia

* Corresponding author

Significance Statement

We present an experimental and theoretical study of the dielectric function of strontium titanate that clarifies the nature of quantum critical phenomena in ferroelectrics and helps to open up new opportunities for the study of exotic normal and superconducting states in polar materials including ferroelectrics, ferri-electrics, anti-ferroelectrics and multiferroics. As evidence we show a series of high-precision studies as a function of temperature and pressure that dramatically confirm for the first time the applicability of a theory of the quantum critical state in displacive ferroelectrics and of superconductivity in polar materials, anticipated more than 50 years ago - and find novel states in regimes where this model breaks down.

Abstract

1 The dielectric and magnetic polarizations of quantum paraelectrics and paramag-
2 netic materials have in many cases been found to initially increase with increasing
3 thermal disorder and hence exhibit peaks as a function of temperature. A quant-
4 itative description of these examples of ‘order-by-disorder’ phenomena has re-
5 mained elusive in nearly ferromagnetic metals and in dielectrics on the border
6 of displacive ferroelectric transitions. Here we present an experimental study of
7 the evolution of the dielectric susceptibility peak as a function of pressure in the
8 nearly ferroelectric material, strontium titanate, which reveals that the peak po-
9 sition collapses towards absolute zero as the ferroelectric quantum critical point
10 is approached. We show that this behaviour can be described in detail without
11 the use of adjustable parameters in terms of the Larkin-Khmelnitskii-Schneerson-
12 Rechester (LKSR) theory, first introduced nearly 50 years ago, of the hybridization
13 of polar and acoustic modes in quantum paraelectrics, in contrast to alternative
14 models that have been proposed. Our study allows us to construct for the first
15 time a detailed temperature-pressure phase diagram of a material on the border
16 of a ferroelectric quantum critical point comprising ferroelectric, quantum critical
17 paraelectric and hybridized polar-acoustic regimes. Furthermore, at the lowest
18 temperatures, below the susceptibility maximum, we observe a new regime char-
19 acterised by a linear temperature dependence of the inverse susceptibility that
20 differs sharply from the quartic temperature dependence predicted by the LKSR
21 theory. We find that this non-LKSR low temperature regime cannot be accounted
22 for in terms of any detailed model reported in the literature, and its interpretation
23 poses a new empirical and conceptual challenge.

Introduction

25 The study of quantum phase transitions and quantum critical systems has led to
26 the discovery of novel phases of matter and the introduction of novel conceptual
27 frameworks for the description of emergent phenomena [1]. A quantum phase

transition reached by varying a tuning parameter such as lattice density or electronic band filling fraction is imagined to separate two or more low-temperature states with qualitatively different types of order. An example is a transition from a magnetically polarized to a paramagnetic state in a metal. In the Kondo lattice model, for instance, at sufficiently low temperature the paramagnetic state is described as a Fermi liquid in which the elementary excitations arise from the hybridization of conduction electron states and well localized f-electron orbitals.

Another example involves a transition from a displacive ferroelectric state to an unpolarized or quantum paraelectric state in polar materials such as the perovskite oxides [2–21]. In contrast to the case of the magnetic metals the nature of the unpolarized state in incipient ferroelectrics remains in some respects an enigma, especially in the low temperature regime. In the simplest model, the quantum paraelectric state is characterized by an activated form of the temperature dependence of the inverse dielectric susceptibility in which the activation temperature scale vanishes at a continuous quantum phase transition, i.e. at a quantum critical point. However, this picture has proved to be insufficient and in particular is contradicted by the observation of an anomalous temperature dependence - including a mysterious minimum - in the inverse susceptibility of SrTiO_3 and related incipient displacive ferroelectrics at low temperatures [15, 16, 19, 22], which theoretical works have attempted to describe [3, 12, 15].

The identification of the nature of the quantum paraelectric states in such materials has been a key objective of the present study. This is a part of a more general goal to characterize and understand the temperature-quantum tuning parameter phase diagram of quantum critical ferroelectrics.

The absence of free charge carriers (in undoped samples) was expected to lead to a simpler phase diagram than that observed near to quantum critical points in metals, in which quantum critical phenomena are in many interesting cases masked by the emergence of intervening phases. These include unconventional superconductivity and exotic textured phases, which are of great interest but stand in the way of understanding quantum critical behaviours in their simplest forms over wide ranges down to very low temperatures.

To characterize the temperature-quantum tuning parameter phase diagram in close detail and obtain a deeper understanding of the quantum paraelectric state we have carried out measurements of the dielectric response over a wide range in temperature and pressure with high precision. In particular, the identification of the low temperature behaviour of the relative dielectric constant, ϵ_r , or dielectric susceptibility, $\chi = \epsilon_r - 1$, has benefited from measurements of relative changes of χ as small as a few parts per billion. We first mention briefly the results of some relevant previous studies of our chosen material and then present and discuss our new findings.

SrTiO_3 is a well-studied incipient displacive ferroelectric [23], widely used as a dielectric in deposition techniques and thin-film interface devices [24], as well as recently in high-precision thermometry [25], and is remarkable for having an extremely high dielectric susceptibility at low temperatures. At high temperatures a good fit to the classically predicted Curie-Weiss form of the dielectric susceptibility is observed, with an extrapolated Curie temperature around 35 K [26], but this temperature dependence changes below approximately 50 K in the quantum critical regime and no ferroelectric ordering occurs down to the lowest temperatures measured. In addition, substitution of oxygen-16 for the oxygen-18 isotope results in the material becoming ferroelectric, and varying the level of isotope substitution or applying pressure (to samples with sufficiently high oxygen-18 concentrations) tunes the Curie temperature T_c to zero [27, 28]. Uniaxial tensile strain applied to SrTiO_3 again causes it to become ferroelectric and suggests a small negative critical pressure of magnitude of the order of one kbar [29]. Measurements of the dielectric susceptibility under pressure [30–32] show a drastic suppression of the low temperature dielectric response as pressure is increased, matching the trend seen in the oxygen isotope doping studies which see a maximum in the size of χ at a substitution level of 36%, the same point where T_c tends to zero temperature. At this quantum critical point the frequency of the polar transverse

optical phonon mode responsible for the ferroelectric ordering approaches zero at the Brillouin zone centre [29]. Recent work [33] has shown that the magnitude of the dielectric loss peak at approximately 10 K, associated with quantum critical effects [34], is linked to the quantum critical point in agreement with results from oxygen-18 substituted SrTiO_3 [9]. An open question in the field remains as to the quantum phase transition empirically not becoming first-order as temperature is lowered and lifting the quantum criticality [35]. Although it is reasonable to expect that a ferroelectric transition such as this would turn first order, there is overwhelming evidence that the system is indeed quantum critical. Further work in the field is needed to advance understanding on this apparent contradiction.

These and related studies, including those on superconductivity in doped SrTiO_3 [21, 36–50], shed light on the likely broad features of the temperature-quantum tuning parameter phase diagram of SrTiO_3 . We now turn to our present findings that allow us to construct the first detailed phase diagram of this kind, with hydrostatic pressure, that preserves the high degree of homogeneity of the starting material, as the chosen quantum tuning parameter. Importantly, our results enable us to identify the physical nature of the quantum paraelectric state at pressures above the critical pressure of the ferroelectric quantum critical point at low temperatures, and in particular below the ubiquitous peak in the dielectric susceptibility.

Results

Fig. 1 shows measurements of the dielectric susceptibility $\chi = \epsilon_r - 1$ of SrTiO_3 at ambient pressure and at increasing applied pressures. The ambient pressure data match the results of earlier work [15, 51] wherein the inverse susceptibility is linear at high temperatures matching the expected Curie-Weiss behaviour, before crossing over to a quadratic power law dependence at lower temperatures attributed to quantum critical fluctuations. The low temperature dielectric susceptibility reaches a maximum at approximately 2 K with a value of around 20,000 before falling at even lower temperatures. Observed as a minimum in the inverse susceptibility, this effect is resolved here in much clearer detail than in earlier studies [15, 28] and crucially is investigated as a function of pressure. In the lower plot of Fig. 1 this minimum is seen to increase in depth with increasing pressure and its position, marked with arrows, moves up in temperature.

Key features of the susceptibility are brought out in Fig. 2, which shows the pressure dependences of the $T \rightarrow 0$ K inverse susceptibility $\chi^{-1}(0)$, (main plot), the square of the position of the minimum T^* (upper inset) and the depth of the minimum $\Delta\chi^{-1}(T^*) = \chi^{-1}(0) - \chi^{-1}(T^*)$ (lower inset). All three curves extrapolate to zero at the same critical pressure, $p_c = -0.7(1)$ kbar, i.e., at the ferroelectric quantum critical point, as suggested above. We see that $\chi^{-1}(0)$ varies linearly with $(p-p_c)$, T^* varies as the square root of $(p-p_c)$ and $\Delta\chi^{-1}(T^*)$ varies as $(p-p_c)$ to a power slightly greater than unity.

The variation of $\chi^{-1}(T)$ above a scale $T_{QC} > T^*$, which vanishes along with T^* at p_c , is found to be quadratic, T^2 , up to another scale T_{CL} , and is linear in the classical regime above T_{CL} (Supplementary Material and Fig. 3). Pressure narrows the temperature window of the T^2 quantum critical regime between T_{QC} and T_{CL} while widening that below T_{QC} , including the interesting regime below T^* . Please see the Supplementary Material and references therein for the error analysis and a full discussion of the fitting processes used in defining T_{QC} and T_{CL} . Combining the data for the pressure-dependent temperatures of the low-temperature minimum, T^* , (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 upper inset) and the crossover temperatures from quantum paraelectric to quantum critical and from quantum critical to classical regimes, T_{QC} and T_{CL} , respectively, with previous data on $\text{SrTi}^{18}\text{O}_3$ [28] that yields the Curie (critical) temperature, T_c , under pressure allows a full mapping of the temperature-pressure phase diagram of SrTiO_3 , which is shown in Fig. 3. The single ferroelectric quantum critical point at p_c is shown to be the origin of both the T^2 region of quantum critical behaviour, and seemingly the energy scale




Figure 1: Upper plot - the dielectric susceptibility χ of SrTiO_3 plotted against temperature for applied pressures ranging from 0 (blue) to 9.6 (red) kbar. The magnitude of the dielectric susceptibility can be seen to be continuously reduced by the application of pressure. The inset shows the change in the low temperature values of χ from their lowest-temperature values; curves are offset for clarity. Importantly, χ initially rises with temperature and exhibits a peak that increases in position and magnitude with increasing pressure. The lower plot shows the change in the inverse of χ from its lowest-temperature values for each pressure (typically 1.6 K), where the feature is now a clearly resolved minimum.




Figure 2: The low temperature inverse dielectric susceptibility $\chi^{-1}(0) = \chi^{-1}(T \rightarrow 0)$ as a function of applied pressure. We see that $\chi^{-1}(0)$ varies linearly with pressure and vanishes at the extrapolated critical pressure, p_c , of -0.7(1) kbar, defining the ferroelectric quantum critical point. The lower and upper insets show, respectively, the temperature dependences of the position of the minimum, T^* , and of the depth of the minimum, $\Delta\chi^{-1}(T^*)$. We see that the square of T^* is proportional to pressure and hence also to $\chi^{-1}(0)$. This is characteristic of the model of coupled polar and non-polar modes, i.e. the LKSR model, as described in the text. The solid lines in all three plots are guides to the eye.




Figure 3: Phase diagram for $\text{SrTi}^{16}\text{O}_3$ from -0.7 to 10 kbar (right) and $\text{SrTi}^{18}\text{O}_3$ from 0 to 0.7 kbar (left), overlayed to match up the positions of proposed QCPs. Closed circles give the positions of the low temperature minimum, T^* , in χ^{-1} and open circles the crossover temperature, T_{QC} from the quantum paraelectric to quantum critical regimes. Dashed lines give fits of $(p - p_c)^{1/2}$ behaviour to both. Crosses show the crossover temperature, T_{CL} , between quantum critical and classical Curie-Weiss behaviour with a dashed guide to the eye. Squares and solid line show the ferroelectric Curie temperature, T_c , of $\text{SrTi}^{18}\text{O}_3$ taken from [28].

of the minimum feature T^* - suggesting this effect to emanate from the QCP.

Discussion

The main features of this phase diagram are consistent with the predictions of a 3-D self-consistent Gaussian mean field model, also known as the self-consistent phonon model (see e.g. [15] and references therein), which assumes that $\chi^{-1}(0)$ is an analytic function of $(p - p_c)$ (in analogy to the assumption of analyticity in the Landau theory of phase transitions at finite temperatures) and that the temperature dependence $\chi^{-1}(T)$ is due to the thermal excitation of polar transverse optical modes whose gap, Δ , vanishes at the quantum critical point. The contribution of each mode depends on the inverse of their wavevector, so that in three dimensions at the quantum critical point one expects a contribution to $\chi^{-1}(T)$ of the form $(1/T)T^3$, or T^2 , far below the relevant Debye temperature, T_D , and of the form T above a temperature, T_{CL} , calculated numerically to be a sizeable fraction of T_D [15]. Away from the quantum critical point where Δ is finite the T^2 regime is cut off below a scale T_{QC} where the temperature dependence becomes exponentially weak as expected for activated phenomena. Since Δ^2 is expected to be proportional to $\chi^{-1}(0)$, which is proportional to $(p - p_c)$, we expect T_{QC} to be proportional to the square root of $(p - p_c)$, which is in keeping with observation (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Material). Similar considerations lead us to expect T_c to also be proportional to the square root of $(p - p_c)$, which is consistent with previous studies in $\text{SrTi}^{18}\text{O}_3$. As shown previously for ambient pressure measurements, the self-consistent phonon model provides not only a qualitative but also quantitative understanding of the above behaviour in terms of independently measured




Figure 4: Calculated pressure dependence of the temperature T^* of the minimum of the inverse susceptibility. Predictions of the self-consistent phonon model including the electrostrictive coupling (Equations 1-5 in the Supplementary Material) for T^* vs $(p - p_c)^{1/2}$ of the inverse susceptibility vs temperature, for three values of the low-temperature and zero-pressure gap $\hbar\Delta/k_B = 24$ K (blue), 12 K (purple) and 6 K (red) (see Supplementary Material for the definition and determinations of the model parameters). The square of T^* is proportional to the pressure change measured from the ferroelectric quantum critical point at p_c , in agreement with observation (Fig. 2).

model parameters.

However, the self-consistent phonon model in its simplest form fails to account for the low temperature behaviour presented here for $T < T^*$, which suggests that the quantum paraelectric state at low T is very different from the traditionally accepted gapped state with activated behaviour, e.g. as described by the Barrett theory [52]. In the remainder of the paper we consider alternative possible descriptions of this state and attempt to clarify its physical nature.

We discuss first the role of the coupling of the electric polarization with the non-polar lattice vibrations or acoustic phonons not included in the above self-consistent phonon model. As shown previously [3, 12, 15] this coupling can account for the existence of a minimum of the inverse susceptibility with values of T^* and depth $\Delta\chi^{-1}(T^*)$ that are consistent with zero-temperature model parameters inferred from other measurements. Extending measurements to include the effect of pressure tuning, however, sheds light on a particularly distinctive prediction of the model, namely that the square of T^* should vary linearly with $\chi^{-1}(0)$ and hence vanish at the ferroelectric quantum critical point. This self-consistent phonon theory including polarization-acoustic phonon couplings is referred to here as the Larkin-Khemelnitskii-Schneerson-Rechester (LKSR) theory [3, 12, 15, 53, 54].

This prediction is strikingly supported by the data presented in the main plot and upper inset of Fig. 2, which show that both $(T^*)^2$ and $\chi^{-1}(0)$ vary linearly with $(p - p_c)$ and hence are proportional to each other (see Fig S5). Moreover, as shown in the Supplementary Material, the absolute value of the slope of $(T^*)^2$ vs $p - p_c$ or equivalently $\chi^{-1}(0)$ is consistent in order of magnitude with independently measured model parameters - in particular the results of the calculations for T^* vs. the square root of $(p - p_c)$ are shown in Fig. 4. Thus, at the critical pressure, p_c , $\chi^{-1}(T)$ has no minimum and is predicted to vary as the square of the temperature down to the lowest temperatures. For our model parameters the transition

to the ferroelectric state is expected to be essentially continuous at low temperatures, despite the polarization-acoustic phonons coupling (electrostriction) that is often expected to lead to first order transitions. This prediction appears to be in keeping with measurements to date in isotopically, chemically, pressure and strain tuned samples of SrTiO_3 .

The LKSR model also predicts that the depth $\Delta\chi^{-1}(T^*)$ of the minimum should scale as $\chi^{-1}(0)$, which is partly supported from a comparison of the main plot and lower inset of Fig. 2. More importantly the polarization-acoustic phonon coupling model [3, 12, 15] predicts that $\chi^{-1}(T)$ should vary as $(-T^4)$ well below the inverse susceptibility minimum, which is in sharp disagreement with the negative quasi-linear dependence observed down to the lowest temperatures investigated [22]. The breakdown of the distinctive $(-T^4)$ prediction of the model is particularly striking at high pressures where temperature ranges up to two orders of magnitude below T^* can readily be accessed - and the systematic variation of the slope and extent of the quasi-linear term with $p - p_c$ is suggestive of an intrinsic phenomenon in some way connected with the quantum critical point.

This dramatic departure from the prediction of the LKSR model leads us to consider alternative explanations for the susceptibility minimum. One such alternative explanation involves the combined effects of long-range dipolar interactions between elementary dipoles and the short range coupling of the polarization modes [55] (the mode-mode coupling) that can be represented in terms of an effective Euclidean action in a quantum description. It was suggested that this can lead to a susceptibility minimum qualitatively as predicted in the polarization-phonon coupling model, but crucially with a $(-T)$ rather than $(-T^4)$ temperature dependence of $\chi^{-1}(T)$ below T^* , qualitatively as observed.

However, on closer examination we find that this negative T -linear form only applies to a material such as SrTiO_3 at relatively high temperatures, indeed at scales above that of the longitudinal polar optical frequencies, which are far above the observed T^* in our experiments. In the temperature range below of the order of 10 K the dipole-dipole interaction model predicts an exponentially weak rather than a $(-T)$ temperature dependence of $\chi^{-1}(T)$, which is in sharp disagreement with observation. For this and other reasons the dipole-dipole interaction model appears to be untenable at least for the case of SrTiO_3 and does not explain the pressure dependence of T^* and of the depth of the minimum. It is also unlikely to operate in other materials where the minimum has been observed, such as TSCC, which have ultra-weak, nearly neutral, dipoles [16]. We note, however, that the dipole-dipole interaction in polar doped alkali halides, for example, can promote antiparallel alignment of dipoles at low temperatures. This does indeed lead to a downturn in the dielectric susceptibility with decreasing temperatures at sufficiently low temperatures in these order-disorder paraelectrics that differ strongly from the displacive paraelectrics being considered here (see, e.g., [56]).

Another alternative explanation involves a possible refinement of the LKSR model, which, as already noted, predicts correctly the linear relationship between $(T^*)^2$ and $\chi^{-1}(0)$. The chief weakness of this model, namely the predicted $(-T^4)$ temperature dependence of $\chi^{-1}(T)$ below T^* , compared with the observed $(-T)$ form, might be corrected via the inclusion of a low density of quasi-static modes of the lattice that can be treated effectively by classical statistics. To account for the observed $(-T)$ temperature dependence, the concentration of such modes need only be minute (below parts per million) since the Debye temperature is much larger than T^* , and normally outside the detection range of most probes. For example, the contribution to the specific heat capacity would be a small and virtually undetectable constant offset. Interestingly, simple numerical checks show that the inclusion of such a low density of slow classical modes, along with the acoustic phonons, leaves the pressure dependence of T^* , which defines a stationary point expected to be relatively insensitive to perturbations, largely unchanged. This suggests that the observed $(-T)$ variation of $\chi^{-1}(T)$ is not inconsistent with the observed linear variation of $(T^*)^2$ vs $\chi^{-1}(0)$. In contrast, however, the dependence of the depth $\Delta\chi^{-1}(T^*)$ on $\chi^{-1}(0)$ is noticeably affected by the low density of slow modes and this too is qualitatively in keeping with observation (main plot

and lower inset of Fig. 2, and Supplementary Material).

We now speculate on one possible origin of the proposed quasi-static modes. The LKSR model discussed thus far takes into account only the coupling of the polarization to the lattice density or to the volume strain. It has been shown that the coupling to non-uniform strain can in principle give rise to long-range strain-mediated interactions between the polarization modes (i.e., long-range mode-mode coupling). These long-range interactions are capable of producing micro-domain structures in the polarization field under certain conditions [17, 57], which may be expected to exhibit slow temporal fluctuations and, correspondingly, classical behaviour even at temperatures well below T^* . Independent evidence for the possible existence of inhomogeneities comes from a number of studies [58] and, for example, from recent measurements of the thermal conductivity [59], which reveal a surprisingly short mean-free path of phonons even in the millikelvin temperature range and in high purity single crystals of SrTiO_3 . These speculations notwithstanding, the breakdown of the LKSR model at temperatures below the inverse susceptibility minimum remains a mystery and potentially a major subject for future study.

We therefore conclude that the susceptibility minimum in SrTiO_3 can be understood largely in terms of the LKSR model. An alternative explanation for the susceptibility minimum in terms of the anharmonic effects of the long-range dipole-dipole interaction is found to be untenable at least for the case of SrTiO_3 . Thus, we may describe the quantum paraelectric state below T^* as a state in which the polarization field and the non-polar lattice field are strongly hybridized, with the emergence at still lower temperatures of a previously unknown regime characterized by a linear temperature dependence of the inverse susceptibility. This is in sharp contrast to the conventional picture in which a ferroelectric quantum phase transition separates a ferroelectric state from an unhybridized paraelectric state characterized by an activated form of the temperature dependence of the inverse susceptibility.

We have presented new experimental findings and, in the traditional way, compared these findings with existing theoretical models. Our analysis does not allow us to claim that the LKSR model has been ‘proved’ even for the description of the origin of the inverse susceptibility minimum, only that it is more realistic than other proposals.

Finally we note that a minimum of the inverse of the order parameter susceptibility is also observed in metals on the border of ferromagnetic quantum critical points. It is possible that at least in some cases the origin of this minimum can also be attributed to the coupling of the fluctuations of the order parameter field and lattice strain or to effects of magnetostriction in place of the effects of electrostriction in the ferroelectric systems.

Data availability

All relevant data are available from the authors on reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank J.F. Scott, D. Jarvis, J. van Wezel, L.J. Spalek, S.E. Dutton, F.M. Grosche, P.A.C. Brown and C. Morice for their help in the development and evolution of this project, and D.E. Khmel'nitskii, E. Baggio Saitovitch, P. Chandra, P. Coleman, V. Martelli, C. Panagopoulos, J-G. Park, G. P. Tsironis, H. Hamidov, V. Tripathi and M. Ellerby for their helpful advice and discussions. We would also like to acknowledge support from Jesus and Trinity Colleges of the University of Cambridge, the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, the CONFAP Newton Fund, IHT KAZATOMPROM, Kazakhstan and the CHT, Tashkent, Uzbekistan programme. The work was carried out with financial support from the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation in the framework of Increase Competitiveness Program of NUST MISiS (No. K2-2017-024), implemented by a governmental decree dated 16th of March 2013, N 211.

Authors' contributions

MJC carried out the experiments and analysed the data. MJC, CRSH and CL developed and built the pressure apparatus. GGL carried out the theoretical calculations. All authors contributed discussion and advancement of the theoretical understanding. SSS conceived and headed the study. MJC, CRSH, GGL and SSS wrote the manuscript.

Additional information

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- [1] Subir Sachdev. *Quantum phase transitions*. Cambridge university press, 2011.
- [2] A. B. Rechester. Contribution to the Theory of Second-order Phase Transitions at Low Temperatures. *Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics*, 33:423, 1971.
- [3] D.E. Khmel'nitskii and V.L. Schneerson. Low-temperature displacement-type phase transition in crystals. *Soviet Physics - Solid State*, 13:832–841, 1971.
- [4] D.E. Khmel'nitskii and V.L. Shneerson. Phase transitions of the displacement type in crystals at very low temperatures. *Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics*, 37:164, Jul 1973.
- [5] U. T. Höchli and L. A. Boatner. Quantum ferroelectricity in $K_{1-x}Na_xTaO_3$ and $KTa_{1-y}Nb_yO_3$. *Physical Review B*, 20(1):266–275, 1979.
- [6] J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Müller. $Sr_{1-x}Ca_xTiO_3$: An XY Quantum Ferroelectric with Transition to Randomness. *Physical Review Letters*, 52(25):2289–2292, 1984.
- [7] O.E. Kvyatkovskii. Quantum effects in incipient and low-temperature ferroelectrics (a review). *Physics of the Solid State*, 43(8):1401–1419, 2001.
- [8] R. Roussev and A.J. Millis. Theory of the quantum paraelectric-ferroelectric transition. *Physical Review B*, 67(1), 2003.
- [9] E.L. Venturini, G.A. Samara, M. Itoh, and R. Wang. Pressure as a probe of the physics of ^{18}O -substituted $SrTiO_3$. *Physical Review B*, 69(18), 2004.
- [10] H. Wu and W. Z. Shen. Dielectric and pyroelectric properties of $Ba_xSr_{1-x}TiO_3$: Quantum effect and phase transition. *Physical Review B*, 73(9):094115, 2006.
- [11] N. Das and S.G. Mishra. Fluctuations and criticality in quantum paraelectrics. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 21(9):095901, 2009.
- [12] L. Pálová, P. Chandra, and P. Coleman. Quantum critical paraelectrics and the Casimir effect in time. *Physical Review B*, 79(7), Feb 2009.
- [13] S.E. Rowley. *Quantum phase transitions in ferroelectrics*. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 2010.
- [14] S.E. Rowley, R. Smith, M. Dean, L. Spalek, M. Sutherland, M. Saxena, P. Alireza, C. Ko, C. Liu, E. Pugh, S. Sebastian, and G.G. Lonzarich. Ferromagnetic and ferroelectric quantum phase transitions. *Physica Status Solidi (b)*, 247(3):469–475, 2010.
- [15] S.E. Rowley, L.J. Spalek, R.P. Smith, M.P.M. Dean, M. Itoh, J.F. Scott, G.G. Lonzarich, and S.S. Saxena. Ferroelectric quantum criticality. *Nat Phys*, 10(5):367–372, 2014.

- [16] S.E. Rowley, M. Hadjimichael, M.N. Ali, Y.C. Durmaz, J.C. Lashley, R.J. Cava, and J.F. Scott. Quantum criticality in a uniaxial organic ferroelectric. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 27(39):395901, 2015.
- [17] S.E. Rowley and G.G. Lonzarich. Ferroelectrics in a twist. *Nature Physics*, 10(12):907–908, 2014.
- [18] S. Horiuchi, K. Kobayashi, R. Kumai, N. Minami, F. Kagawa, and Y. Tokura. Quantum ferroelectricity in charge-transfer complex crystals. *Nature Communications*, 6(1), 2015.
- [19] S.E. Rowley, Y.S. Chai, S.P. Shen, Y. Sun, A.T. Jones, B.E. Watts, and J.F. Scott. Uniaxial ferroelectric quantum criticality in multiferroic hexaferrites $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$ and $\text{SrFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$. *Scientific Reports*, 6:25724, 2016.
- [20] P. Chandra, G.G. Lonzarich, S.E. Rowley, and J.F. Scott. Prospects and applications near ferroelectric quantum phase transitions: a key issues review. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 80(11):112502, 2017.
- [21] C.W. Rischau, X. Lin, C.P. Grams, D. Finck, S. Harms, J. Engelmayr, T. Lorenz, Y. Gallais, B. Fauqué, J. Hemberger, and K. Behnia. A ferroelectric quantum phase transition inside the superconducting dome of $\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{TiO}_{3-\delta}$. *Nature Physics*, 13(7):643–648, 2017.
- [22] M.J. Coak. *Quantum tuning and emergent phases in charge and spin ordered materials*. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 2017.
- [23] M.E. Lines and A.M. Glass. *Principles and applications of ferroelectrics and related materials*. Oxford university press, 1977.
- [24] W. A. Atkinson, P. Lafleur, and A. Raslan. Influence of the ferroelectric quantum critical point on SrTiO_3 interfaces. *Physical Review B*, 95(5), Feb 2017.
- [25] C. Tinsman, G. Li, C. Su, T. Asaba, B. Lawson, F. Yu, and L. Li. Probing the thermal Hall effect using miniature capacitive strontium titanate thermometry. *Applied Physics Letters*, 108(26):261905, 2016.
- [26] K.A. Müller, W. Berlinger, and E. Tosatti. Indication for a novel phase in the quantum paraelectric regime of SrTiO_3 . *Z. Physik B - Condensed Matter*, 84(2):277–283, Jun 1991.
- [27] M. Itoh and R. Wang. Quantum ferroelectricity in SrTiO_3 induced by oxygen isotope exchange. *Applied Physics Letters*, 76(2):221, 2000.
- [28] R. Wang, N. Sakamoto, and M. Itoh. Effects of pressure on the dielectric properties of $\text{SrTi}^{18}\text{O}_3$ and $\text{SrTi}^{16}\text{O}_3$ single crystals. *Physical Review B*, 62(6):R3577–R3580, Aug 2000.
- [29] H. Uwe and T. Sakudo. Stress-induced ferroelectricity and soft phonon modes in SrTiO_3 . *Physical Review B*, 13(1):271–286, 1976.
- [30] E. Hegenbarth and C. Frenzel. The pressure dependence of the dielectric constants of SrTiO_3 and $\text{Ba}_{0.05}\text{Sr}_{0.95}\text{TiO}_3$ at low temperatures. *Cryogenics*, 7(1-4):331–335, 1967.
- [31] C. Frenzel and E. Hegenbarth. The influence of hydrostatic pressure on the field-induced dielectric constant maxima of SrTiO_3 . *Phys. Stat. Sol. (a)*, 23(2):517–521, 1974.
- [32] B. Pietrass and E. Hegenbarth. The influence of pressure on phase transitions at low temperatures: SrTiO_3 and $(\text{Ba}_x\text{Sr}_{1-x})\text{TiO}_3$. *J Low Temp Phys*, 7(3-4):201–209, 1972.

- [33] M. J. Coak, C. R. S. Haines, C. Liu, D. M. Jarvis, P. B. Littlewood, and S. S. Saxena. Dielectric Response of Quantum Critical Ferroelectric as a Function of Pressure. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1):1–7, 2018.
- [34] R. Viana, P. Lunkenheimer, J. Hemberger, R. Böhmer, and A. Loidl. Dielectric spectroscopy in SrTiO₃. *Physical Review B*, 50(1):601–604, Jul 1994.
- [35] P. Chandra, P. Coleman, M. A. Continentino, and G. G. Lonzarich. Quantum annealed criticality. *ArXiv e-prints*, 1805.11771, 2018.
- [36] J. F. Schooley, W. R. Hosler, and Marvin L. Cohen. Superconductivity in semi-conducting SrTiO₃. *Physical Review Letters*, 12(17):474–475, 1964.
- [37] D. van der Marel, J. L. M. van Mechelen, and I. I. Mazin. Common fermi-liquid origin of T² resistivity and superconductivity in *n*-type SrTiO₃. *Physical Review B*, 84(20), 2011.
- [38] J.F. Scott, E.K.H. Salje, and M.A. Carpenter. Domain wall damping and elastic softening in SrTiO₃: Evidence for polar twin walls. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 109(18), 2012.
- [39] A. Lopez-Bezanilla, P. Ganesh, and P.B. Littlewood. Research update: Plentiful magnetic moments in oxygen deficient SrTiO₃. *APL Materials*, 3(10):100701, 2015.
- [40] S.E. Rowley, C. Enderlein, J. Ferreira de Oliveira, D.A. Tompsett, E. Baggio Saitovitch, S.S. Saxena, and G.G. Lonzarich. Superconductivity in the vicinity of a ferroelectric quantum phase transition. *ArXiv*, (1801.08121v2), 2018.
- [41] X. Lin, Z. Zhu, B. Fauqué, and K. Behnia. Fermi surface of the most dilute superconductor. *Physical Review X*, 3(2), 2013.
- [42] K. Ueno, S. Nakamura, H. Shimotani, A. Ohtomo, N. Kimura, T. Nojima, H. Aoki, Y. Iwasa, and M. Kawasaki. Electric-field-induced superconductivity in an insulator. *Nature Materials*, 7(11):855–858, 2008.
- [43] R.M. Fernandes, J.T. Haraldsen, P. Wölfle, and A.V. Balatsky. Two-band superconductivity in doped SrTiO₃ films and interfaces. *Physical Review B*, 87(1), 2013.
- [44] S.N. Klimin, J. Tempere, J.T. Devreese, and D. van der Marel. Interface superconductivity in LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ heterostructures. *Physical Review B*, 89(18), 2014.
- [45] X. Lin, G. Bridoux, A. Gourgout, G. Seyfarth, S. Krämer, M. Nardone, B. Fauqué, and K. Behnia. Critical doping for the onset of a two-band superconducting ground state in SrTiO_{3-δ}. *Physical Review Letters*, 112(20), 2014.
- [46] J.M. Edge, Y. Kedem, U. Aschauer, N.A. Spaldin, and A.V. Balatsky. Quantum critical origin of the superconducting dome in SrTiO₃. *Physical Review Letters*, 115(24), 2015.
- [47] J. Ruhman and P.A. Lee. Superconductivity at very low density: The case of strontium titanate. *Physical Review B*, 94(22), 2016.
- [48] A.V. Chubukov, I. Eremin, and D.V. Efremov. Superconductivity versus bound-state formation in a two-band superconductor with small Fermi energy: Applications to Fe pnictides/chalcogenides and doped SrTiO₃. *Physical Review B*, 93(17), 2016.
- [49] L.P. Gor’kov. Phonon mechanism in the most dilute superconductor *n*-type SrTiO₃. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(17):4646–4651, 2016.

- 448 [50] A. Stucky, G.W. Scheerer, Z. Ren, D. Jaccard, J.-M. Poumirol, C. Barreteau,
449 E. Giannini, and D. van der Marel. Isotope effect in superconducting n-doped
450 SrTiO_3 . *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 2016.
- 451 [51] K.A. Müller and H. Burkard. SrTiO_3 : An intrinsic quantum paraelectric
452 below 4 K. *Phys. Rev. B*, 19(7):3593–3602, Apr 1979.
- 453 [52] J.H. Barrett. Dielectric constant in perovskite type crystals. *Phys. Rev.*,
454 86(1):118–120, Apr 1952.
- 455 [53] A. I. Larkin and S. A. Pikin. Phase transitions of first order but nearly of
456 second. *Soviet Physics JETP-USSR*, 29(5):891–&, 1969.
- 457 [54] A. I. Larkin and D. E. Khmel’nitskiĭ. Phase transition in uniaxial ferroelec-
458 trics. *Soviet Physics JETP-USSR*, 29(6):1123–&, 1969.
- 459 [55] G.J. Conduit and B.D. Simons. Theory of quantum paraelectrics and the
460 metaelectric transition. *Physical Review B*, 81(2), 2010.
- 461 [56] W. N. Lawless. Impurity dipole interactions in alkali halides at low temper-
462 ature. *Physik der kondensierten Materie*, 5(2):100–114, Jul 1966.
- 463 [57] R.T. Brierley and P.B. Littlewood. Domain wall fluctuations in ferroelectrics
464 coupled to strain. *Physical Review B*, 89(18), 2014.
- 465 [58] E.K.H. Salje, O. Aktas, M.A. Carpenter, V.V. Laguta, and J.F. Scott. Domains
466 within domains and walls within walls: Evidence for polar domains in cryo-
467 genic SrTiO_3 . *Physical Review Letters*, 111(24), 2013.
- 468 [59] V. Martelli, J.L. Jiménez, M. Continentino, E. Baggio-Saitovitch, and
469 K. Behnia. Thermal transport and phonon hydrodynamics in strontium ti-
470 tanate. *Physical Review Letters*, 120(12), 2018.